30 October 1981

	MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	
25X1	FROM:	
	Chairman, ELINT Exploitation Working Group	
	SUBJECT: Eighth Meeting of the ELINT Exploitation Working Group	25X1
DEV 1	1. The Chairman announced that the proposal (attachment 1) originally approved by the members of the working group to use contract support to conduct the Data Collection Phase of the Exploitation Study was unlikely to be funded by the Intelligence Community Staff because of the reductions being made in the staff's FY 1982 budget in the Congress and the high likelihood that the reductions would be sustained. An alternative approach (attachment 2) was presented that substituted direct visits by the working group members for the center-specific questionnaires that were to be developed by the contractor and send out as messages. Because the working group was evenly divided as to whether to adopt the new approach, a revised approach which reduces the need for field visits will be developed.	
25X1	will be developed.	
25X1	2. The list of exploitation centers to be included in the exploitation study (attachment 3) was reviewed by the members and the author of the ELINT Data Flow Study and compared with several other lists and will be considered final after review by the DUSD (P) representative.	
	3. In addition to the Chairman, the attendees were:	
25X1	DIA	
	Ronald Polan, DNI	
	Robert Miller, MAJ USAF, OACS/I, USAF	
25X1	Perry Cowgill, LTC USA, OACSI, USA	25X1
25X1	CIA/NFAC	
25 <u>X1</u>		
	Ralph Newsome, IBM	
	Approved For Release 20 0 (ՏՐ Մ. A -RDP8 M00171R000300130018-2	

Approved For Release 2005/03/30 : CIA-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2

SUBJECT: Eighth Meeting of the ELINT Exploitation Working Group	
announced that he was being returned within NFAC to the Uffice of Science and Weapons Research from the Collection Requirements Evaluation Staff (CRFS) and that his poplacement would be requirements	
from CRES. Concess and that his repracement would be	25X1
4. Because of the rapid pace of the last two months, memoranda for the record for the fifth, sixth and seventh meetings have not yet been distributed. These are appended as attachments 4 through 6. The agenda for the ninth meeting (attachment 7), the proposed agenda for the tenth meeting (attachment 8) and a list of near term actions (attachment 9) are also included.	
	25X1
Attachments: (9) 1. Proposed Agenda 2. Alternative Approaches	
3. Selected Exploitation Centers 4. MFR/Fifth Meeting, EEWG 5. MFR/Sixth Meeting, EEWG 6. MFR/Seventh Meeting, EEWG 7. Agenda, Ninth Meeting, EEWG 8. Proposed Agenda, Tenth Meeting, FFWG	
	25X1
2	
TOP SECRET	
	Attachments: (9) 1. Proposed Agenda 2. Alternative Approaches 3. Selected Exploitation Centers 4. MFR/Fifth Meeting, EEWG 5. MFR/Stxth Meeting, EEWG 6. MFR/Seventh Meeting, EEWG 6. MFR/Seventh Meeting, EEWG 6. Proposed Agenda, Tenth Meeting, EEWG 6. Proposed Agenda, Tenth Meeting, EEWG 6. MFR/Seventh Meeting, EEWG 6. MFR/Seventh Meeting, EEWG 6. Proposed Agenda, Tenth Meeting, EEWG 6. MFR/Seventh Meeting, EEWG 6. MFR/Seventh Meeting, EEWG 6. MFR/Seventh Meeting, EEWG 7. Agenda, Ninth Meeting, EEWG 8. Proposed Agenda, Tenth Meeting, EEWG 9. Near-Term Action



IUP SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/03/30: CIA-RDP83M0017120000000130018-2

A Proposed Data Collection and Analysis Stragegy for the ELINT Exploitation Study

This approach would rely on the contractor who conducted the Baseline ELINT Data Flow Study. The contractor would approach data collection for the ELINT Exploitation Study in a very similar manner to an update to the Baseline Study in that the same points of contact would be used and the questions for each exploitation center sould be individually fitted to that center and based on the information available from the Baseline Study. The questions must also be designed to allow comparison among exploitation centers.

Task I: Data Collection (October 1981)

- A. Review Baseline Study and other relevant material.
- B. Develop data collection packages for each exploitation center.
- C. Submit packages to EEWG for comment and revise as necessary.
- D. Distribute packages to exploitation centers.

Task II: Preliminary Analysis Phase (November-December 1981)

- A. Follow-up on data collection (resolve ambiguities in responses, clarify questions for exploitation centers, etc.)
- B. Review Collection Impact and Environmental Change Studies in context of Baseline ELINT Data Flow Study to anticipate data exploitation problems (and to identify opportunities—though this is less feasible to do using only these materials).
- C. Update Baseline Study where available documentation will permit.
- D. Design report.

Task III: Analyze Responses (January 1982)

- A. Consolidate and summarize responses by type of problem and type of exploitation.
- B. Summarize exploitation center resource requirements and associated intelligence gains by type of intelligence (threat assessment, operational support, etc.) and level of response (accommodation of collection changes and full and effective use of new information).

Approved For Release 2005/03/30 : CIA-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2

- Task IV: Prepare Report (February-April 1982)
 - A. Submit draft report to EEWG (by 1 March 1982)
 - B. Incorporate comments.
 - 1. EEWG
 - 2. Exploitation Centers
 - C. Collect additional data as needed.
 - D. Prepare and submit final report (by 1 May 1982).
- Task V: Working Group Support (October 1981-June 1982)
 - A. Provide briefings and other support to EEWG as directed.

2 2 OCT 1981

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Members of the ELIM	T Exploitation Wo	rking Group	
25X1	FROM:				
25X1	SUBJECT:	Alternative Approac	thes to the Data C	ollection Phase	
	Group (EEWG) to us been confounded by are being imposed	originally planned by e contract support fo the substantial cuts by the House Permane ing appealed, it is	or the data collect of in external cont of Select Committe onlikely that ther	ract support that ee on Intelligence. ee will be	
25X1	by FY81 funds. Of has any money left	those two contracts	, only the one wit	th	25X1
	provide detailed we they briefed to us	ete their support of written explanations s at the last meeting notes when that is su	These explanations of the ficient.	ions will be based the Collection	25X1
	Impact Study was of funding would be prepared to he contract support this study effort	conducted in the reas provided for the second ave the study stop a funds become available has the highest prioghas already donated	onable anticipation on the participation of the participation of the state of the s	additional ff or elsewhere, ing the work to	I 25X1
25X1 25X1		antial amount of thei f the six man-days de	r own resources t	o this study	
25X1	3. Funding simply unavailable whether to proceed that plan to allow	for contract support e, whatever its prion d with the data colle w for the lost contra ion, I have developed	rity. The question ection phase as plact support. In a	anned or to revise	25X1
23/1	representative op	a streamlined revisorsonnel at a representational support centre to determine from the	ntative sai center nter (FTD and SAC em what informatio	for example). The	; }
	changes and with	what precision would e to answer our ques nd appropriateness of	tions. It would a	EXPIDITATION	
	•				

Approved For Release 2005/03/30 FIA-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2

25X1 25X1

Stage 2: Revise briefing and questions and visit exploitation centers in Washington area. Revisit SAC or FTD if necessary. These visits could probably continue to be yet been aproved.	
Stage 3: Send questions and supporting materials to remaining exploitation centers in CONUS and overseas. This stage can only be implemented after the establishment of the subcompartments. The decision to visit or send messages to these centers could be made best after Stage 2; however, it is unlikely that we will have this much flexibility. Substantial requests for TDY funds need to be made early and 30 days notice is required for overseas visits.	25X
Stage 4: Analyze Responses.	
a. Consolidate and summarize responses by type of problem and type of exploitation.	-
b. Summarize exploitation center resource requirements and associated intelligence gains by type of intelligence (threat assessment, operational suppodt, etc.) and level of response (accommodation of collectdion changes and full and effective use of new information).	
c. Prepare.	
Schedule:	
Stage 1 - week of 16 November 1981.	
Stage 2 - mid-January 1982 to the end of February 1982.	
Stage 3 - mid-January 1982 to the end of Febraury 1982.	
Stage 4 - all of March 1982.	25X
•	25X
-2-	

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2005/03/30 : CIA-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2

Attachment 4 MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD FROM: 25X1 Chairman, ELINT Exploitation Working Group 25X1 SUBJECT: Fifth Meeting of the ELINT Exploitation Working Group 1. The members of the working group reviewed and approved a proposal to use contract support to conduct the Data Collection Phase. This approach would use the ELINT Data Flow Study to develop a separate set of questions for each exploitation center in order to conduct this phase primarily by messages rather than by visits. 25X1 2. The NSA representative presented a briefing on processing concepts for _____ The principal change in information to the 25X1 exploitation centers as a consequence of changes in _____processing 25X1 will be due to the Second Generation Data Processing Upgrade 25X1 No additional changes are expected from the processing modifications for 25X1 3. In addition to the Chairman, the attendees were: 25X1 , DIA 25X1 Ronald Polan, DNI Philip K. Lavigne, OACSI, USA Robert Miller, MAJ USAF, OACS/I, USAF 25X1 Fred Berghoff, ICS/OSC. 25X1 25X1

TOP SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/03/30: CIA-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2

	Attachment 5	
	MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	
25X1	FROM: ELINT Exploitation Working Group	
	SUBJECT: Sixth Meeting of the ELINT Exploitation Working Group	25X1
25X1	1. On 16 September 1981, the Working Group reviewed the progress of the Collection Impact Study and the Environmental Change Study and established the general content and date for the briefing on the Collection Impact Study.	
25X1	2. The difficulty of providing information about future systems outside the was discussed. Many of the exploitation centers that support operational users do not have	25X1
25X1	personnel orstorage facilities. It was agreed that because the information needed by the exploitation centers for planning for the changes in ELINT flow in the 1980's is a relatively small subset	05)//
	be made to the SIGINT Committee to establish TK subcompartments containing only that information about the ELINT capabilities of the future systems that will affect the ELINT flow and which will eventually be released into the TALENT-KEYHOLE compartment as the systems become operational. That	25X1
	3. The questions for the exploitation centers were reviewed as revised by the DIA Representatives. They were judged to be suitable for use by the contractor to develop center-specific questionnaires, but a need for additional questions to elicit the gains in intelligence values expected to be obtained from the improved flow of ELINT information and from the exploitation centers' adaptation to the changed flow.	25X1 25X1
	4. In addition to the Chairman, the attendees were:	
25X1 25X1	NSA DIA Ronald Polan, DNI	25X1
25X1 25X1 25X1	Philip K. Lavigne, OACSI, USA Maj, USAF, OACS/I, CIA/NFAC	25X1
	Approved For Release 2005/03/30 .; CIA RDR83M 00171R000300130018-2	
	Approved For Release 2005/03/30 - CIA RPR3M00171R000300130018-2	

SECRET
Approved For Release 2005/03/30 : CIA-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2

9 October 1981

25X1	MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, SIGINT Committee	
25X1	VIA: Director, Office of Assessment and Evaluation	
25X1	FROM: Chairman, ELINT Exploitation Working Group	
	SUBJECT: Distribution of Results of Collection Impact Study to ELINT Exploitation Centers	25X1
	1. As part of its preparation for assessing the impact on ELINT exploitation of the changes in overhead ELINT collection programmed to occur through the 1980s, the ELINT Exploitation Working Group (EEWG) has had a study prepared that describes how the ELINT information that the collectors provide to exploitation centers will change through the decade. A list of the parameters necessary to summarize the findings of this study in the form that the EEWG proposes to provide to the exploitation centers	
25X1	The find add in Accacimient A.	
25X1 25X1	2. If in the view of the SIGINT Committee these materials are not releasable in existing the EEWG requests that TALENT-KEYHOLE subcompartments be established as appropriate to allow us to disseminate this material to the ELINT exploitation centers that are expected to be most affected by the changes in information. These centers are listed in Attachment B.	
25X1	3. Major changes in the flow of ELINT information are expected in the early part of the decade and many of the changes that will eventually occur may require additional manpower, retraining, new signal analysis equipment, additional software or upgraded ADP capabilities. The lead times necessary to plan, budget, procure and implement such changes are substantial. Hence, early release of sufficient information about the collectors to characterize the changes in ELINT information that will occur is essential for a well managed adjustment to the collection changes.	25X′
	••	
25X1		
	Approved For Release 2005 13 (1) 174-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2	

JLUILI

Approved For Release 2005/03/30 : CIA-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2

25X1	SUBJECT: Distribution of Results of Collection Impact Study to ELINT Exploitation Centers	
	4. To minimize the sensitivity of the early release of this information, only information about the ELINT capabilities of the collection systems will be included in the materials going to the exploitation centers. If tis recommended that these subcompartments be similarly restricted, at	
25X1	least initially.	
25X1	5. Since this issue must be resolved before the data collection phase of the ELINT Exploitation Study can proceed, I urge your early attention to this issue.	
		25X1
	Attachments: (2)	
	A. Findings of the Collection Impact Study	
	B. Listing of Exploitation . Centers	

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/03/30 . CIA-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2

Next 6 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Attachment 7

AGENDA

Ninth Meeting of ELINT Exploitation Working Group

1300 Hours, 4 November 1981

Room 4809, Community Headquarters Building

- 1. Progress Briefing on Environmental Change Study.
- 2. Review Draft Plan for the Data Collection Phase.
- 3. Final Review of Revised Questions for Exploitation Centers.

Attachment 8

PROPOSED AGENDA

Tenth Meeting of ELINT Exploitation Working Group

1300 Hours, 18 November 1981

Room 4S09, Community Headquarters Building

- Review Cover Letter announcing the Data Collection Phase.
 (U)
- Review Draft of Collection Impact Statement for Exploitation Centers. (U)

TOP SECRET
Approved For Release 2005/03/30 : CIA-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2

Attachment 9

As Revised 30 October 1981

		NEAR TERM ACTIONS	
	0	ICS to Provide:	
		Revised set of questions to ask of Exploitation Centers in Data Collection Phase.	
		Deadline: 4 November 1981 (9th Meeting)	25X1
	o	ICS to Provide:	
		Revised Plan for Data Collection Phase.	
		Deadline: 4 November 1981 (9th Meeting)	25X1
	0	ICS to Provide:	
25X1		A description of the changes expected in the volume and the nature of the flow of ELINT information from the ELINT Collection Mix through 1990 in a form that can be disseminated to the exploitation centers within the proposed	
		Deadline 18 November 1981 (10th Meeting)	25X1
	0	ICS to Provide:	
		Description of Changes Expected in the ELINT Environment by 1990 that may Affect Exploitation.	
		Deadline: Progress Report on 4 November 1981 (9th Meeting)	
		Draft Report by 2 December 1981 (11th Meeting)	25X1
25X1	0	ICS,to Provide:	
25X1		Briefing on Collection Impact Study and Support for Establishment of for ELINT Capabilities to SIGINT Committee.	- -
		Deadline: At the Convenience of the SIGINT Committee.	25X1
•	•		
			25X1
		Approved For Release 2005/03/30 CIA-RDP83M00171R000300130018-2	₹ -